1	Jason S. Takenouchi (CBN 234835)						
	Kasowitz Benson Torres LLP						
2	101 California Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94111						
3	Telephone: (415) 421-6140						
4	Fax: (415) 398-5030 jtakenouchi@kasowitz.com						
5	Marc E. Kasowitz (pro hac vice)						
6	Christine A. Montenegro (pro hac vice)						
7	Nicholas A. Rendino (pro hac vice) Kasowitz Benson Torres LLP						
8	1633 Broadway New York, New York 10019						
9	Telephone: (212) 506-1700						
10	Fax: (212) 506-1800 mkasowitz@kasowitz.com						
	cmontenegro@kasowitz.com						
11	nrendino@kasowitz.com						
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff MLW Media LLC						
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
14							
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
16	MLW MEDIA LLC,) CASE NO. 5:22-cv-00179-EJD					
17	Plaintiff,) DECLARATION OF JASON S.) TAKENOUCHI IN SUPPORT OF					
18	v.) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SHORTEN					
19	WORLD WRESTLING ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,) TIME FOR THE INITIAL CASE) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE					
20	ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,)					
21	Defendant.))					
22							
23							
24))					
25		-					
26							
27							
		CHI IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO					
28	SHOKTEN TIME FOR THE INITIA	L CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE					

Case No. 5:22-cv-00179-EJD

I, JASON S. TAKENOUCHI, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a member of the Bar of the State of California and a partner with the law firm Kasowitz Benson Torres LLP, counsel for Plaintiff MLW Media LLC ("MLW"). I submit this declaration in accordance with Northern District of California Local Rules 6-1, 6-3, 7-1(a)(2), and 7-5.
- I have personal knowledge of all facts stated in this declaration, and if called to testify,
 I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 3. No stipulation could be obtained with regard to MLW's Motion to Shorten Time For The Initial Case Management Conference, as Defendant World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. ("WWE") rejected MLW's proposal of an earlier date for the Initial Case Management Conference.
- 4. MLW seeks to reschedule the Initial Case Management Conference to September 29, 2022 the same date as the hearing on WWE's to dismiss to avoid the improper unilateral stay of discovery imposed by WWE, promote judicial economy and ensure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of the action.
- 5. Absent an order to reschedule the Initial Case Management Conference, MLW will suffer substantial harm and prejudice by WWE's stay of discovery, which will improperly bar the parties from commencing discovery until more than four months after WWE's motion to dismiss was fully briefed.
- 6. On January 12, 2022, the Court entered an Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines, which set the Initial Case Management Conference for April 12, 2022.
- 7. In a January 28, 2022 text-only docket entry, the Court reset the Initial Case Management Conference for April 21, 2022.

8.	On March 3, 2022,	I emailed WV	WE's counsel,	Christopher F	Finnerty, to	discuss a
briefing sched	ule for WWE's fortl	hcoming moti	on to dismiss.			

- 9. Mr. Finnerty responded on March 6, 2022 with a proposed briefing schedule, and a request that the parties continue the conference required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) ("Rule 26(f)") until after the Court's ruling on the motion to dismiss, on a date of the Court's choosing.
- 10. On March 8, 2022, I had a brief phone call with Mr. Finnerty to discuss his proposal, during which I informed him that MLW would not agree to postpone the Rule 26(f) conference until after the Court's ruling, as this would effectively stay discovery for several months.
- 11. In response, Mr. Finnerty indicated that if MLW did not agree to continue the Rule 26(f) conference, WWE would view the phone call as a meet and confer for the purpose of filing a motion to stay discovery.
- 12. On March 14, 2022, WWE attorney Derek Kelley informed MLW's counsel by email that WWE intended to file a motion to dismiss and a motion to stay discovery on the following day, March 15, and that WWE planned to reserve April 21 for a hearing date on its motion to stay.
- 13. On March 15, 2022, WWE filed a motion to dismiss with a hearing date of September 29, 2022, but did not file a motion to stay discovery.
- 14. On March 16, 2022, Mr. Kelley informed MLW's counsel by email that WWE planned to move to stay discovery on the following day, March 17, and would ask the Court to hear the motion on April 21.
 - 15. WWE did not file a motion to stay discovery on March 17 or thereafter.
 - 16. MLW's counsel was not a party to WWE's communications, if any, with the Court 3

regarding the scheduling of WWE's motion to dismiss or its anticipated motion to stay discovery.

- 17. On March 24, 2022, the Court continued the Initial Case Management Conference to October 27, 2022.
- 18. By an email sent on May 5, 2022, MLW's counsel asked WWE to participate in a Rule 26(f) conference within 14 days, consistent with Rule 26(f)'s requirement that the parties meet and confer as soon as practicable.
- 19. Mr. Finnerty responded on May 9, 2022, refusing to participate in a Rule 26(f) conference until after the Court heard oral argument on WWE's motion to dismiss, and asserting that it would be impracticable for the parties to meet before then.
- 20. On May 11, 2022, MLW's counsel advised Mr. Finnerty by email that MLW would move to compel WWE's compliance with Rule 26(f) if WWE maintained its position to refuse to meet and confer, and requested that the parties meet and confer to discuss this forthcoming motion.
- 21. WWE responded on May 12, claiming that October 6, 2022 was "the most 'practical' date to conduct the conference, as both parties will have a better sense of what claims, if any, will be moving forward."
- 22. The parties met and conferred on May 20, 2022. MLW's counsel, Christine A. Montenegro, asked Mr. Finnerty what his basis was for concluding that the parties would have a better sense of what claims would be moving forward on October 6, 2022, given that the oral argument on WWE's motion to dismiss is scheduled to occur just one week prior, on September 29, 2022. Mr. Finnerty stated that he did not have a specific reason, but referred Ms. Montenegro back to his prior emails.
- 23. During this meet and confer, WWE refused to participate in a Rule 26(f) conference before October 2022 and the parties did not reach a resolution.

24. On May 24, 2022, MLW's counsel, Nicholas A. Rendino, spoke with Courtroom
Deputy Robinson about scheduling MLW's motion to compel. No date was available until after the
hearing on WWE's motion to dismiss. The Court thus suggested that MLW's counsel reach out to
WWE's counsel to see whether WWE would agree to stipulate to conduct the Case Management
Conference on September 29, 2022, the same day as the hearing on WWE's motion to dismiss.

- 25. On May 24, 2022, Ms. Montenegro asked Mr. Finnerty if WWE would consent to moving the Case Management Conference from October 6, 2022 to September 29, 2022.
- 26. On May 27, 2022, Mr. Finnerty responded that WWE would not consent to rescheduling the Case Management Conference.
- 27. MLW's request to reschedule the Initial Case Management Conference to September 29, 2022, would shift the parties' deadlines as follows:
 - a. September 8, 2022 Last day for Parties to (1) Meet and Confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan; and (2) file ADR Certification signed by Parties and Counsel
 - b. September 15, 2022 Last day for Parties to File Stipulation/Motion for Order Changing Time re Initial Case Management Conference
 - c. September 19, 2022 Last day for Parties to file Joint Case Management Statement, pursuant to the Court's Standing Order for Civil Cases IV(B)
 - d. September 22, 2022 Last day for Parties to file Rule 26(f) Report and complete Initial Disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report
 - e. September 22, 2022 Last day for Parties to File and Serve Administrative Request to Appear Telephonically at Initial Case Management Conference
 - f. September 29 Initial Case Management Conference

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 24, 2022. Dated: June 24, 2022 /s/ Jason S. Takenouchi Jason S. Takenouchi DECLARATION OF JASON S. TAKENOUCHI IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO